# CENTER FOR MODERN TORAH LEADERSHIP Center for Modern Torah Leadership חרות ואחריות . Torah Ceadership "Taking Responsibility for Torah

#### WE LOVE HIM; WE LOVE HIM NOT. WE LOVE HIM...

#### Rabbi Aryeh Klapper, Dean

The phrase l'ahavah et Hashem Elokekha appears in Devarim 30:16, and then again in Devarim 30:20. These verses are the bookends of a parshah, or stanza, so the redundancy appears blatant. But the prefix lamed of l'ahavah may turn out to be a homonym, or even a pun, so that the stanza actually conveys a dramatic spiritual development. Properly understanding that development may be a sine qua non for fulfilling the imperative, found in verse 19, to "choose life."

Verse 15 opens with G-d declaring that He has placed before us this day

> אַת־הַחַיִּים וְאֵת־הַטְּוֹב ואַת־הַמַות ואַת־הַרַע:

the life and the good and the death and the bad

Verse 16 opens with

## אַשַּׁר אַנֹכִי מִצַוּךٌ הַיּוֹם

that I am commanding you this day

Does G-d mean that He is commanding us both life and death, both good and bad? Presumably not. Therefore, the commandments must be what follows, with verse 16 only establishing a context. What follow is l'ahavah et Hashem Elokekha.

We can reasonably translate verses 15-16 as follows

ראה נַתַתִּי לְפַנֵיר ֹהַיּוֹם אַת־הַחַיִּים וְאֵת־הַטְּוֹב ואת־הַמַּות ואת־הַרַע: אַשַּׁר אַנֹכָי מִצַוּרָ הַיּוֹם ַלְאַה<u>ַבָּ</u>ה אֵת־הַ' אֵ-לֹהֵיך לַלְכֵת בַדַרַכַיו ולשמר מצוֹתַיו וחקתיו ומשפַטיו וָחַיִיתַ וְרַבְּיתַ וּבֵרַכָרֹ הַ' אֵ-לֹהֵיך בָּאָרֵץ אֵשֵׁר־אַתָּה בָא־שָׁמָּה לְרִשִּׁתָּה:

I have placed before you this day the life and the good and the death and the bad

(in) that I am commanding you this day to love Hashem your

G-d-

to walk in His ways and to observe His mitzvot, His chukum, and His mishpatim-

and (if you observe them), you will live and multiply, and Hashem your G-d will bless you

in the land to which you are coming there, to possess it. L'ahavah here means "to love," and to love G-d means to walk in His ways and observe His mitzvot, chukim, and mishpatim. There seems to be no obligation here to feel or inculcate the emotion of love toward G-d, but rather to express that emotion through proper action. It may even be enough to act as if one is feeling that emotion.

Remember that G-d placed before us both life and death, the good and the bad. He did that by commanding us to act in certain ways. Obeying His command brings life, multiplication and blessing; verses 17-18 make explicit that disobedience brings destruction and shortened life, or perhaps national exile. Connecting life to multiplication suggests that it has a straightforward physical meaning.

In verse 19, G-d calls Heaven and Earth to witness – and to be prepared to testify if necessary - that

ֹהַחַיִּים וִהַּמָּוֵת ׁ נָתַתִּי לְפָּٰנֵיך הַבַּרַכָּה וְהַקּלַלָּה

the life and death I have placed before you the blessing and the curse

The introduction of witnesses may just be an intensification of G-d's opening declaration. But the change from good/bad to blessing/curse opens the possibility that the meaning of "life" has also changed.

In verse 17, G-d commanded us to love Him, and life is the consequence of our obedience. In verse 19-20, the relationship between love and life seems much more complex and multivalent.

> ובחרת בחיים ַלִמָען תַּחֵיֶה אַתַּה וְזַרַעך: ַלְאַהַבָּה אֵת־הְ' א- ֱלֹהֵׁיךְ לשמע בקלו וּלְדַבְקה־בְוֹ ַכָּי הַוּא חַיֶּיך**ֹ וִאַ**רֵך יָמֵיך ַלַשָּבֵת על־ הַאַדַמַּ ה אֲשֵׁר נִשְּבַע הָ' לַאַבתֵיך

### לָאַבְרָהָם לְיִצְחֶק וְּלְיַעֵקֹב לָתֻת לָהֶם:

You must choose life so that you will live, you and your seed, *l'ahavah et Hashem Elokekha* to heed His voice and to cleave to Him

because that/He is your life and the length of your days to settle on the ground that Hashem swore to your ancestors

to Avraham, to Yitzchak, and to Yaakov – to give them.

We are **commanded** to choose life; life is a **motive** for and a **consequence** of that choice; and that choice, or perhaps G-d the Commander, is our life. All this is confusing enough: but what's love got to do with it?

In verse 17, love was reducible to observance; it was about external expression, not internal state. In verse 20, love leads to *dveykus*/cleaving, which is generally a metaphor for an internal state.

*Dveykus* the internal state is independent of love. For example, in Genesis 34:3 Shekhem's *nefesh* cleaves to Dina after he rapes her, and **then** he loves her (in some sense). Men abandon their parents and cleave to their wives, while Ruth cleaves to her mother in-law after her husband dies; love is not mentioned explicitly in either context.

A beraita on Nedarim 62a offers an interpretation of verse 20.

לאהבה את ה' א-להיך
לשמוע בקולו ולדבקה בו–
שלא יאמר אדם:
אקרא - שיקראוני חכם;
אשנה - שיקראוני רבי;
אשנן - שאהיה זקן ואשב בישיבה,
אלא - למד מאהבה, וסוף הכבוד לבא,
שנאמר: קשרם על אצבעותיך כתבם על לוח לבך,
ואומר: עץ חיים היא למחזיקים בה ותומכיה מאושר.

l'ahavah et Hashem Elokekha
to heed His voice and cleave to Him –
(meaning) that a person should not say:
I will read – so that they will call me the title Chakham;
I will recite – so that they will give me the title Rabbi;
I will teach (or sharpen) – so that I can be a zakenand sit in the academy.

Rather – learn out of love, and in the end the honor will come,

as Scripture says: Bind them on your fingers; write them on the tablet of your heart; and it says: Her paths are paths of pleasantness;

and it says: She is a tree of life for those who grasp her, and those who support her are enriched.

The obvious shift from verses 15-16 is that love is expressed in study rather than in action. The subtler but equally crucial shift is that *l'ahavah*is translated as "out of love." Verses 19-20 now say that we must choose life out of love of G-d. Love is an internal state, a **motivation**. Not only that: love – at least the kind of love that leads to *dveykus* - is an exclusive religious motivation. Learning out of love means learning with no other motive.

This creates a paradox. Love of G-d entails the belief or knowledge that He is just, which means that He will reward you for expressing love toward Him. The nature of a just reward is to be something you genuinely and properly desire. How, then, can human beings serve G-d without the reward becoming part of their motivation? But religious love is defined by its jealousy; it cannot coexist with other motives.

The *beraita* reflects this paradox. We are not supposed to learn for the sake of being honored, but if we are to learn out of love, if we are to find G-d worthy of love, we must believe that honor will come.

This paradox is at the heart of Sefer Iyov. G-d wants to know that Iyov serves Him out of love and not, as Satan asserts, out of self-interest. But He can only find that out by at least seeming unjust, and would Iyov love an unjust G-d? Should he?

The same dynamic drives Akeidat Yitzchak. G-d can only find out whether Avraham serves him purely out of love by demanding that he sacrifice Yitzchak. Very likely Avraham cannot serve G-d purely out of love so long as Yitzchak lives, because he cannot stop himself from considering Yitzchak's interests when making decisions, even if he can disregard his own interests. But if Avraham actually sacrifices Yitzchak, he will cease loving G-d. There is a Heisenberg principle at work; G-d can be loved, or know that He is loved, but not both. When G-d places life and death before us, He reminds us of His power over us; and pure love generally cannot exist in awareness of power. But to love someone *means* giving them emotional power over you!

What may square the circle is perfect faith that He will never use that emotional power, never demand proofs of love that are not in our best interests. Perhaps that is *dveykus*.